

W^r. Brown, W^m. Osward, & their children? The will has settled it
in a way to give little satisfaction, & much trouble. W^r. Brown
(besides £15,000) has it for life - then Raymond for life - a
much older man & in feeble health, & I fear his disappoint-
ment, which he will hear today - will be very sad - the affair
has just been reversed - poor W^r. R. might have had it for
his few years - & then W^r. B. if it had not been better, as it
would, by far, to have given him more money, but not the es-
tate. W^r. B. by his faithful attention to their interests, & in
consideration of a good deal of strait keeping & short allowance
in former days, might well have had a very handsome share -
but not quite so well in this annoying form. - After these
2 lives come W^r. R.'s married daughter wife, & then her chil-
dren - the first male heirs in the right line (after R. himself.)
But then, poor W^m. Osward, the most devoted of nurses - & the
only person for whom W^m. H. P. had expressed the most con-
centrated affection - gets ~~nothing~~ little or nothing besides clothes &
jewelry - & her 3 children have £10,000⁰⁰s in trust among
them, divisible & manageable as the Trustees see fit. This
seems a very poor recompence for the devotion of great part
of a life - but then in all probability the Testator thought
W^r. B. would always keep a home for his sister - for she (W^m. H.
P.) was fully persuaded - in her simplicity - that he would
never marry - & now the truth - so long suspected by the
whole neighbourhood - is officially proclaimed by himself - that he
is married - & has no doubt long been so^(no children) - no reason to suppose
anything very creditable, as it has been a secret - & now the
O party - mother & children, are surely disappointed. I have
made so much longer a story than I had any idea of that I must