

You did not tell me whether the Codicil would be invalidated by the omission of the declaration. —

The Aston. Regist. people sent me a proof of their ~~the~~ report of my little paper. There were mistakes enough in it to lead me to cancel it & write them another — & yet with curiously stupid pertinacity they have imported into the new affair a special blunder culled out of the old one (about the stars being barely perceptible by the end of the year) I chaffed & minded it because — (as so many others do — servers may be still looking at it — & I have no doubt it is still visible with a 3 in. Obj.) — it was a little reflection either on my very beautiful speculum, or my poor old eye, which need not be condemned before it is gone — & it stands well as yet. So I have asked Mr Jackson to insert a correction in next No of Register.

Do you happen to know how my friend Rev. T. T. Smith — such a clever fellow, in some respects reminding me of Berthou — can get a copy of H's Gen. Cat. of Neb^{ae}? —

And can you tell me whether written memoranda & drawings will appear in Mon: Notices? They are not stated to have been read, or taken as read, at the Meeting. —

I won't forget, when Mr Newall's come out, to make the comparison I alluded to, for you, but I won't publish unless you wish it. I mean, if you ~~think~~ prefer keeping your own suggestion (as to eccentric or elliptic structure) as it now is, without reference to former appearances, which you or others might have seen in my published drawings, I will by all means leave it so. —

Dear Tom Over

I have asked you lots of questions, but they will all wait. Mrs Webb's kind love. Your very affectionate old friend
Dr. Geo! Mac Dydd!