

put his name on it with their own - which  
was very conspicuous and have "burked" the  
~~fact that the invention was his~~ - tho' this was  
one of the first grounds for a prize. & he had  
been assured on all hands that he w<sup>t</sup>. had one  
for the invention. This was certainly dis-  
graceful enough - but not out of keeping with  
what one hears of those people. But there  
is more than this in it. To justify them-  
selves, while they admit that it was their  
opinion that the invention was his - they  
say that L<sup>d</sup> Lindsay said to them as nearly  
as they can recollect "I do not think Mr.  
B. c. had his patent for a moment as I  
have a stand on precisely this plan made ma-  
ny years ago." - and they suppose that Lord  
L. thus influenced his colleagues of the Jury.

Now Mr. B. naturally wishes to know  
whether if this be so, it might be that  
Lord L. has got hold of one of the 25

Mr. B. has made for various people during  
the last 13. or 14 years. - If so he feels fit  
if Lord L. has unintentionally misled the Jury  
he ought to make some reparation. —

So he - naturally enough - supposing me  
to be a friend of Lord L. has asked me to  
write to him about it. But I feel I  
much decline - because I really know so  
very little of Lord L. ~~that~~ whom I never  
saw but once, I think - or possibly twice  
and then in your company, & have never  
corresponded with him - so that it would  
seem hardly suitable in me. — But sh<sup>t</sup> you  
be returned - & sh<sup>t</sup> you feel no objection,  
you, who know Lord L. so well c<sup>t</sup> probably  
make such an apparent interference  
whether such a thing was ever said at all  
(for my part I have as much confidence  
in H. & J. as would buy a Vulcan) - &