

I have looked at one or two of my own rubies for variation but never found it.

And so I might go on - but only to tire you & spend time - so, especially as my wife is going to write, I shall close, & remain

Your affectionate old friend

J. W. Webb

P. S. I had to go out to dinner yes-
terday after writing the above, & during
my absence my wife wrote her note -
not being aware at the time that the
Meeting~~s~~ would be (unless I am mistaken)
on March 9. - I hope that time may
perfectly suit you. I shall be so very glad
to see you again. She would like to know
if there would be a chance of her seeing the
Queen...

Handwick Vicarage, 2 Feb. '83. After
Post

236

My dearest Arthur,

Best thanks for your very kind letter &
all the trouble you have taken for me. I
did not ~~not~~ ask you all those bothering ques-
tions till I had failed in all the books I could
get at - so you see what a proficient in Optics
you have shown yourself to be. Where did you
get it all? One or two other matters I should
like explained - by one who can explain so
clearly to very dull & slow capacities like mine.
Thank you again very much for the promise of
Young's ~~after~~ Sun - I hope the loan of it will
be no inconvenience to you - I wanted the lat-
est & best information before giving some
"scratch" lectures in Cheltenham, where we
go D.V. on 19th but I think I told you this
before. I don't think however I did tell you
that we had had T-E. & spin here for the in-
side of a week. N.B. Steel blot is not
likened. It has very much
the character of a snail's head & horns! which
can certainly claim no affinity with him - ex-
cept it may be in delicacy of feeling. He is