

lie in or towards Jupiter's minians is unquestionable; but
that is a result of perspective foreshortening, not atmospheric
obscuration. The more perfect telescopes become, the more
these alleged atmospheric effects hide their heads. You shd.
compare even such drawings as mine (to say nothing of Delc
Revi's) with those of B. V.M. I may fairly say I am as
much a-head of them, as they are in advance of Cassini &
Maraldi. -

I have just received intelligence of Comet I 1871. from
my good friend R.C. friend Mr. Birmingham - ~~but~~ with an
Ephemeris - but I fear it will be too low in the N. for
my telescope. It has the Gaseous Spectrum! -

About the Kemblett MSS. I am truly obliged by
all your trouble: My wife's memory, so much better than
mine - tells me there is probably a description of the Chro-
micle, in my dear father's hand, inserted in it: if not it
has been printed by Sir T. Dutton Hardy in his Catalogue.
I do not know, however, where, or how, that is to be got at.

I have just returned from delivering a Lecture on ~~the~~ Comets &c. at the Ladies Coll. I find somebody has been throw-
ing water over my lecture &c. (did they think there was too
much of it?) & now I must be off to make some calls. My
wife has gone out - & been Vaccinated - & I wish I knew where
to find a hermetically sealed tube of Hay Fever, with which you
could be inoculated just at the right time - meanwhile always
My dearest Arthur Yours very affec: & friend
J. W. Webb.

Cheltenham, 27 April 1871.
~~44~~ ³⁵
My dearest Arthur,

The books are just come in - & before going to
bed I must thank you at once for your great kindness
to ^{my} friend and myself. We shall both be much bene-
fited by it. - As to Lord Lyndsay's critique - I shall
feel especially obliged by anything of the kind you can
gather, & particularly by any remarks of your own - but
in the ~~first~~ instance you have specified I do not see how I
can make any change, as my colours, as well as positions
& distances, are all preferred reproductions of the data in
the Bedford Catalogue - & the Admiral is alone respons-
ible for anything vague or obscure. I think however I
know very well what his 'topaz-yellow' means - a yellow
with a slight tinge of brown, or smokiness, as compared
with that of sodium, which may I suppose be taken as
the standard. But were a new nomenclature attempted,
I do not see how a direct comparison with the spectrum -
lines could be accomplished with any ordinary means - & to
common observers it would convey no intelligible idea.

I am so sorry to think that when I went back to my
duty last Saturday I entirely forgot to look out the book
for you - however I had so very much to read in so short
a time (getting up on Monday morning at 3. 20, & rose too
early) that it was not surprising. However - as we return